

DOCKET NO.: C1039.70083US05

1EN

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Applicant:

Krieg et al.

Serial No.:

10/789,536

Confirmation No.:

9640

Filed:

February 26, 2004

For:

IMMUNOMODULATORY OLIGONUCLEOTIDES

Examiner:

Nita M. Minnifield

Art Unit:

1645

CERTIFICATE OF MAILING UNDER 37 C.F.R. §1.8(a)

The undersigned hereby certifies that this document is being placed in the United States mail with first-class postage attached, addressed to MAIL STOP AMENDMENT, Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450, on the day of March, 2007.

Jamieson K. Herrick

MAIL STOP AMENDMENT

Commissioner For Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Sir:

Transmitted herewith are the following documents:

- Information Disclosure Statement
- PTO Form 1449 with cited references
- Return Receipt Postcard

If the enclosed papers are considered incomplete, the Mail Room and/or the Application Branch is respectfully requested to contact the undersigned at (617) 646-8000, Boston, Massachusetts.

A check in the amount of \$180.00 is enclosed to cover the filing fee. If the fee is insufficient, the balance may be charged to Deposit Account 23/2825. A duplicate of this sheet is enclosed.

Respectfully submitted,

By:

Helen C. Lockhart, Ph.D., Reg. No.: 39,248

Wolf, Greenfield & Sacks, P.C.

600 Atlantic Avenue

Boston, Massachusetts 02210-2206

Telephone: (617) 646-8000

Docket No.: C1039.70083US05

Date: March \(\int_{\bullet}, 2007

xNDDx



DOCKET NO.: C1039.70083US05

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Applicant:

Krieg et al.

Serial No.:

10/789,536

Confirmation No.:

9640

Filed:

February 26, 2004

For:

IMMUNOMODULATORY OLIGONUCLEOTIDES

Examiner:

Nita M. Minnified

Art Unit:

1645

CERTIFICATE OF MAILING UNDER 37 C.F.R. §1.8(a)

The undersigned hereby certifies that this document is being placed in the United States mail with first-class postage attached, addressed to MAIL STOP AMENDMENT, Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450, on the ______ day of March, 2007.

Jamieson K. Herrick

MAIL STOP AMENDMENT

Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

STATEMENT FILED PURSUANT TO THE DUTY OF DISCLOSURE UNDER 37 CFR §§1.56, 1.97 AND 1.98

Sir:

Pursuant to the duty of disclosure under 37 C.F.R. §§1.56, 1.97 and 1.98, the Applicant requests consideration of this Information Disclosure Statement.

PART I: Compliance with 37 C.F.R. §1.97

This Information Disclosure Statement has been filed more than three months after the filing date of this application and after the mailing date of the first Office action, but before the mailing date of any final action under 37 C.F.R. §1.113, a Notice of Allowance under 37 C.F.R. §1.311, or an action that otherwise closes prosecution in this application.

The fee of \$180.00 as set forth in 37 C.F.R. §1.17(p) is enclosed.

83/29/2897 EHAILE1 88888829 19789536

1156147.1

81 FC:1886

189.88 GP

Serial No.: 10/789,536 -2 - Art Unit: 1645

Conf. No.: 9640

PART II: Information Cited

The Applicant hereby makes of record in the above-identified application the information listed on the attached form PTO-1449 (modified PTO/SB/08). The order of presentation of the references should not be construed as an indication of the importance of the references.

The Applicant hereby makes the following additional information of record in the above-identified application.

The Applicant would like to bring to the Examiner's attention the following co-pending applications that may contain subject matter related to this application:

Serial No.	Filing Date	Inventor(s)	Docket No.
11/595,823	11-10-2006	Wagner et al.	*C1041.70035US01
11/598,207	11-10-2006	Krieg et al.	*C1039.70048US24
11/603,978	11-22-2006	Forsbach et al.	*C1041.70053US02
11/629,106	12-08-2006	Lipford et al.	*C1041.70027US01
11/645,106	12-22-2006	Krieg et al.	*C1039.70083US17

^{*}A copy of this reference is not provided as the Office has waived the requirement under 37 C.F.R. 1.98(a)(2)(iii) for submitting a copy of a cited U.S. patent application if it is scanned to the Image File Wrapper system and is available on Private PAIR.

The Applicant would like to bring to the Examiner's attention the following other information, whose relevance is discussed in Part III below:

<u>PART III: Explanation of Non-English Language References and Remarks Concerning Other Information Cited</u>

The following are remarks concerning the other information cited:

Serial No.: 10/789,536 -3 - Art Unit: 1645

Conf. No.: 9640

The instant patent application derives priority from US 6,194,388 B1, which is a priority application for US 6,207,646 B1, which was involved in Interference No. 105171. In view of the priority, Applicants have included on the attached 1449 a listing of all of the motions filed, the judgment rendered by the Board of Patent Appeals and Interferences, appeal briefs, and appeal decision, which considered the 35 U.S.C. §135(b)(1) motion dispositive. Copies of these documents were previously submitted in Serial No. 10/690,495, filed October 21, 2003, to which the instant application derives priority. If the Examiner would like any additional information on this subject, she is encouraged to contact Applicant's representative at the number listed below.

PART IV: Remarks

Documents cited anywhere in the Information Disclosure Statement are enclosed unless otherwise indicated. It is respectfully requested that:

- 1. The Examiner consider completely the cited information, along with any other information, in reaching a determination concerning the patentability of the present claims;
- 2. The enclosed form PTO-1449 (modified PTO/SB/08) be signed by the Examiner to evidence that the cited information has been fully considered by the Patent and Trademark Office during the examination of this application;
- 3. The citations for the information be printed on any patent which issues from this application.

By submitting this Information Disclosure Statement, the Applicant makes no representation that a search has been performed, of the extent of any search performed, or that more relevant information does not exist.

By submitting this Information Disclosure Statement, the Applicant makes no representation that the information cited in the Statement is, or is considered to be, material to patentability as defined in 37 C.F.R. §1.56(b).

Serial No.: 10/789,536 - 4 - Art Unit: 1645

Conf. No.: 9640

By submitting this Information Disclosure Statement, the Applicant makes no representation that the information cited in the Statement is, or is considered to be, in fact, prior art as defined by 35 U.S.C. §102.

Notwithstanding any statements by the Applicant, the Examiner is urged to form his or her own conclusion regarding the relevance of the cited information.

An early and favorable action is hereby requested.

Respectfully submitted,

By:

Helen C. Lockhart, Ph.D., Reg. No. 39,248

Wolf, Greenfield & Sacks, P.C.

600 Atlantic Avenue

Boston, Massachusetts 02210-2206

Telephone: (617) 646-8000

Docket No.: C1039.70083US05

Date: March 16, 2007

xNDDx

O I P	18 88 88				
~	2007 0-14495 and B (m	odified	I PT()/SD/08\	APPLICATION NO.: 10/789,536	ATTY. DOCKET NO.: C1039.70083US05
MADEN	RMATION I	NTS C	CUDE	FILING DATE: February 26, 2004	CONFIRMATION NO.: 9640
	EMENT BY			APPLICANT: Krieg et al.	
Sheet	1	of	4	GROUP ART UNIT: 1645	EXAMINER: Nita M. Minnified

U.S.	PA	TEN	T	DOC	UN	1EN	T	S
------	----	-----	---	-----	----	-----	---	---

Examiner's	Cite	U.S. Patent Docu	ment	Name of Patentee or Applicant of Cited	Date of Publication or Issue	
	No.	Number	Kind Code	Document	of Cited Document MM-DD-YYYY	
		5,849,719		Carson et al.	12-15-1998	
		6,174,872	B1	Carson et al.	01-16-2001	
		6,399,630	B1	Macfarlane	06-04-2002	
		2002-0086839	A1	Raz et al.	07-04-2002	
		2003-0027782	A1	Carson et al.	02-06-2003	
		2004-0006034	A1	Raz et al.	01-08-2004	
		2004-0092468	A1	Schwartz et al.	05-13-2004	
		2006-0241076	A1	Uhlmann et al.	10-26-2006	
		2006-0246035	Al	Ahluwalia et al.	11-02-2006	
		2006-0286070	Al	Hartmann et al.	12-21-2006	
		2006-0287263	A1	Davis et al.	12-21-2006	
		2007-0009482	Al	Krieg et al.	01-11-2007	
		2007-0010470	A1	Krieg et al.	01-11-2007	
	1	2007-0037767	A1	Bratzler et al.	02-15-2007	

FOREIGN PATENT DOCUMENTS

Examiner's	Cite	Fore	eign Patent Docur	nent	Name of Patentee or Applicant of Cited	Date of Publication of	Translation
Initials #	No.	Office/ Country	Number	Kind Code	Document	Cited Document MM-DD-YYYY	(Y/N)

OTHER ART — NON PATENT LITERATURE DOCUMENTS

Examiner's Initials #	Cite No	Include name of the author (in CAPITAL LETTERS), title of the article (when appropriate), title of the item (book, magazine, journal, serial, symposium, catalog, etc.), date, page(s), volume-issue number(s), publisher, city and/or country where published.	Translation (Y/N)
,,,,,		LEIBSON et al., Role of gamma-interferon in antibody-producing responses. Nature. 1984 Jun 28-Jul 4;309(5971):799-801.	
		WHALEN et al., DNA-mediated immunization to the hepatitis B surface antigen. Activation and entrainment of the immune response. Ann N Y Acad Sci. 1995 Nov 27;772:64-76.	
		YAMAMOTO, Cytokine production inducing action of oligo DNA. Rinsho Meneki. 1997; 29(9): 1178-84. Japanese.	Yes
	*	Patent Interference No. 105,171. Iowa Preliminary Motion 3 (for judgment based on failure to comply with 35 U.S.C. 135(b)). (Electronically filed, unsigned). June 7, 2004.	
	*	Patent Interference No. 105,171. Iowa Preliminary Motion 4 (for judgment of no interference in fact). (Electronically filed, unsigned). June 7, 2004.	

EXAMINER:	DATE CONSIDERED:

[#] EXAMINER: Initial if reference considered, whether or notcitation is in conformance with MPEP 609; Draw line through citation if not in conformance and not considered. Include copy of this form with next communication to Applicant.

FORM PTÖ-144	10/A and B (ma	ndified	DTO/SD/08)	APPLICATION NO.: 10/789,536	ATTY. DOCKET NO.: C1039.70083US05		
	IATION D			FILING DATE: February 26, 2004	CONFIRMATION NO.: 9640		
	MENT BY			APPLICANT: Krieg et al.			
ļ				GROUP ART UNIT: 1645	EXAMINER: Nita M. Minnified		
Sheet	2	of	4				

		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
	*	Patent Interference No. 105,171. Iowa Preliminary Motion 5 (for judgment based on lack of	
-		enablement). (Electronically filed, unsigned). June 7, 2004.	
	*	Patent Interference No. 105,171. Iowa Preliminary Motion 6 (for judgment based on lack of	
		adequate written description). (Electronically filed, unsigned). June 7, 2004.	
	*	Patent Interference No. 105,171. Iowa Preliminary Motion 7 (motion to redefine interference to	
	·	designate claims as not corresponding to the Count). (Electronically filed, unsigned). June 7, 2004.	
	*	Patent Interference No. 105,171. Iowa Preliminary Motion 8 (contingent motion to redefine the	
	•	Count). (Electronically filed, unsigned). June 7, 2004.	
		Patent Interference No. 105,171. Iowa Preliminary Motion 9 (motion for benefit of earlier	
Ì	*	application). (Electronically filed, unsigned). June 7, 2004.	
		Patent Interference No. 105,171. Iowa Preliminary Motion 10 (contingent motion to redefine the	
	*	Fatent interference No. 105,171. Iowa Fferniniary World To Contingent motion to reterme the	
		interference by adding a continuation application). (Electronically filed, unsigned). July 2, 2004.	
	*	Patent Interference No. 105,171. Regents of the University of California Opposition 3 (to Iowa	
		Preliminary Motion 3 for judgment under 35 USC 135(b)). September 9, 2004.	
	*	Patent Interference No. 105,171. Regents of the University of California Opposition 4 (to Iowa	
	•	Preliminary Motion 4 for judgment of no interference in fact). September 9, 2004.	
		Patent Interference No. 105,171. Regents of the University of California Opposition 5 (to Iowa	
Ī	*	Preliminary Motion 5 for judgment that UC's claim is not enabled). September 9, 2004.	
	1	Patent Interference No. 105,171. Regents of the University of California Opposition 6 (to Iowa	
	*	Preliminary Motion 6 for judgment based on lack of adequate written description). September 9,	
(c)	•		
		2004.	
	*	Patent Interference No. 105,171. Regents of the University of California Opposition 7 (to Iowa	
		Preliminary Motion 7 to redefine the interference). September 9, 2004.	
	*	Patent Interference No. 105,171. Regents of the University of California Opposition 8 (to Iowa	
		Preliminary Motion 8 to redefine the Count). September 9, 2004.	
	*	Patent Interference No. 105,171. Regents of the University of California Response 9 (to Iowa	
	•	Contingent Motion 9 for benefit). September 9, 2004.	
		Patent Interference No. 105,171. Regents of the University of California Opposition 10 (to Iowa	
	*	Contingent Motion 10 to redefine the interference). September 9, 2004.	
		Patent Interference No. 105,171. Regents of the University of California Opposition 11 (to Iowa	
ĺ	*	Contingent Motion 11 to suppress). October 15, 2004.	
		Patent Interference No. 105,171. Iowa Reply 3 (in support of Iowa Preliminary Motion 3 for	
	*		
		judgment under 35 U.S.C. §135(b)) (Electronically filed, unsigned). October 15, 2004.	
	*	Patent Interference No. 105,171. Iowa Reply 4 (in support of Iowa Preliminary Motion for judgment	
		of no interference in fact) (Electronically filed, unsigned). October 15, 2004.	
	*	Patent Interference No. 105,171. Iowa Reply 5 (in support of Iowa Preliminary Motion 5 for	
i	·	judgment that UC's claim 205 is not enabled) (Electronically filed, unsigned). October 15, 2004.	
		Patent Interference No. 105,171. Iowa Reply 6 (in support of Iowa Preliminary Motion 6 for	
	*	judgment based on lack of adequate written description) (Electronically filed, unsigned). October	
		15, 2004.	
		Patent Interference No. 105,171. Iowa Reply 7 (in support of Iowa Preliminary Motion 7 to redefine	
	*	the interference) (Electronically filed, unsigned). October 15, 2004.	
		Patent Interference No. 105,171. Iowa Reply 8 (in support of Iowa Preliminary Motion 8 to redefine	
	*		
		the count) (Electronically filed, unsigned). October 15, 2004.	

EXAMINER:	DATE CONSIDERED:

[#] EXAMINER: Initial if reference considered, whether or notcitation is in conformance with MPEP 609; Draw line through citation if not in conformance and not considered. Include copy of this form with next communication to Applicant.

FORM PTO	5-1449/A and B (m	odified	LPTO/SB/08)	APPLICATION NO.: 10/789,536	ATTY. DOCKET NO.: C1039.70083US05		
	RMATION I		•	FILING DATE: February 26, 2004 CONFIRMATION NO.: 9640			
	TEMENT BY			APPLICANT: Krieg et al.			
Sheet	3	of	4	GROUP ART UNIT: 1645	EXAMINER: Nita M. Minnified		

*	Patent Interference No. 105,171. Iowa Reply 10 (in support of Iowa Preliminary Motion 10 to redefine the interference) (Electronically filed, unsigned). October 15, 2004.	
*	Patent Interference No. 105,171. Iowa Reply 11 (in support of Iowa Miscellaneous Motion to suppress). (Electronically filed, unsigned). October 18, 2004.	•
*	Patent Interference No. 105,171. Regents of the University of California Preliminary Statement. June 7, 2004.	···
*	Patent Interference No. 105,171. Regents of the University of California Preliminary Motion 1 (to designate additional claims of Iowa patent as corresponding to the Count). June 7, 2004.	
*	Patent Interference No. 105,171. Regents of the University of California Preliminary Motion 2 (for judgment based on lack of written description support and introducing new matter). June 7, 2004.	
*	Patent Interference No. 105,171. Regents of the University of California Preliminary Motion 3 (for judgment based on anticipation). June 7, 2004.	
*	Patent Interference No. 105,171. Regents of the University of California Preliminary Motion 4 (for judgment based on obviousness). June 7, 2004.	
*	Patent Interference No. 105,171. Regents of the University of California Preliminary Motion 5 (for judgment based on anticipation). June 7, 2004.	
*	Patent Interference No. 105,171. Regents of the University of California Preliminary Motion 6 (for judgment based on inequitable conduct). June 7, 2004.	
*	Patent Interference No. 105,171. Regents of the University of California Contingent Preliminary Motion 7 (for benefit of an earlier application under 37 CFR 1.633(j)). July 2, 2004.	
*	Patent Interference No. 105,171. Regents of the University of California Contingent Preliminary Motion 8 (to add additional claims under 37 CFR 1.633(c)(2) and (i)). July 2, 2004.	-
*	Amended Claims for Application Number 09/265,191, filed March 10, 1999.	
*	Patent Interference No. 105,171. Iowa Opposition 1 (opposition to motion to designate additional claims as corresponding to the Count) (Electronically filed, unsigned). September 9, 2004.	
*	Patent Interference No. 105,171. Iowa Opposition 2 (opposition to motion for judgment based on lack of written description support and introducing new matter) (Electronically filed, unsigned). September 9, 2004.	
*	Patent Interference No. 105,171. Iowa Opposition 3 (opposition to motion for judgment based on anticipation) (Electronically filed, unsigned). September 9, 2004.	
*	Patent Interference No. 105,171. Iowa Opposition 4 (opposition to motion for judgment based on obviousness) (Electronically filed, unsigned). September 9, 2004.	
*	Patent Interference No. 105,171. Iowa Opposition 5 (opposition to motion for judgment based on anticipation) (Electronically filed, unsigned). September 9, 2004.	
*	Patent Interference No. 105,171. Iowa Opposition 6 (opposition to motion for judgment based on inequitable conduct) (Electronically filed, unsigned). September 9, 2004.	
*	Patent Interference No. 105,171. Iowa Opposition 7 (opposition to motion for benefit of an earlier application under 7 CFR 1.633(j)) (Electronically filed, unsigned). September 9, 2004.	
*	Patent Interference No. 105,171. Iowa Opposition 8 (opposition to motion to add additional claims under 37 CFR 1.633 (2) and (i)) (Electronically filed, unsigned). September 9, 2004.	
*	Patent Interference No. 105,171. Regents of the University of California Reply 1 (to Iowa's opposition to UC's motion to designate Iowa claims as corresponding to the Count). October 15, 2004.	

EXAMINER:	DATE CONSIDERED:

[#] EXAMINER: Initial if reference considered, whether or notcitation is in conformance with MPEP 609; Draw line through citation if not in conformance and not considered. Include copy of this form with next communication to Applicant.

FORM PTÖ-1449/A and B (modified PTO/SB/08) INFORMATION DISCLOSURE STATEMENT BY APPLICANT		APPLICATION NO.: 10/789,536	ATTY. DOCKET NO.: C1039.70083US05
		FILING DATE: February 26, 2004	CONFIRMATION NO.: 9640
		APPLICANT: Krieg et al.	
Sheet	4 of 4	GROUP ART UNIT: 1645	EXAMINER: Nita M. Minnified
*	* Patent Interference No. 105,171. Regents of the University of California Reply 2 (to Iowa's opposition to UC Preliminary Motion 2 for Judgment). October 15, 2004.		
*	Patent Interference No. 105 171. Regents of the University of California Reply 3 (to Iowa's		
*	Patent Interference No. 105,171. Regents of the University of California Reply 4 (to Iowa's Opposition to UC Preliminary Motion 4 for Judgment). October 15, 2004.		
*	Patent Interference No. 105,171. Regents of the University of California Reply 5 (to Iowa's Opposition to UC Preliminary Motion 5 for Judgment). October 15, 2004.		

	The state of the s		
*			
	Patent Interference No. 105,171. Regents of the University of California Reply 3 (to Iowa's		
T	Opposition to UC Preliminary Motion 3 for Judgment). October 15, 2004.		
	Patent Interference No. 105,171. Regents of the University of California Reply 4 (to Iowa's		
"	Opposition to UC Preliminary Motion 4 for Judgment). October 15, 2004.		
	Patent Interference No. 105,171. Regents of the University of California Reply 5 (to Iowa's		
"	Opposition to UC Preliminary Motion 5 for Judgment). October 15, 2004.	_	
1,	Patent Interference No. 105,171. Regents of the University of California Reply 6 (to Iowa's		
1	opposition to UC Preliminary Motion 6 for judgment). October 15, 2004.		
1	Patent Interference No. 105,171. Regents of the University of California Reply 7 (to Iowa's		
•	Opposition to UC Preliminary Motion 7 for Benefit). October 15, 2004.		
	Patent Interference No. 105,171. Regents of the University of California Reply 8 (to Iowa's		
•			
*	Patent Interference No. 105,171. Decision on Motion under 37 CFR §41.125. March 10, 2005.		
*	Patent Interference No. 105,171. Judgment and Order. March 10, 2005.		
Patent Interference No. 105 171 Regents of the University of California Brief of Appellant, July 5.			
*	2005.		
*	Patent Interference No. 105,171. University of Iowa and Coley Pharmaceutical Group, Inc. Brief of		
*	Patent Interference No. 105,171. Regents of the University of California. Reply Brief of Appellant.		
	September 6, 2005.		
*			
	* * * * * * * * * *	patent Interference No. 105,171. Regents of the University of California Reply 3 (to Iowa's Opposition to UC Preliminary Motion 3 for Judgment). October 15, 2004. * Patent Interference No. 105,171. Regents of the University of California Reply 4 (to Iowa's Opposition to UC Preliminary Motion 4 for Judgment). October 15, 2004. * Patent Interference No. 105,171. Regents of the University of California Reply 5 (to Iowa's Opposition to UC Preliminary Motion 5 for Judgment). October 15, 2004. * Patent Interference No. 105,171. Regents of the University of California Reply 6 (to Iowa's Opposition to UC Preliminary Motion 6 for judgment). October 15, 2004. * Patent Interference No. 105,171. Regents of the University of California Reply 7 (to Iowa's Opposition to UC Preliminary Motion 7 for Benefit). October 15, 2004. * Patent Interference No. 105,171. Regents of the University of California Reply 8 (to Iowa's Opposition to UC Preliminary Motion 8 to add additional claims). October 15, 2004. * Patent Interference No. 105,171. Decision on Motion under 37 CFR §41.125. March 10, 2005. * Patent Interference No. 105,171. Judgment and Order. March 10, 2005. * Patent Interference No. 105,171. University of Iowa and Coley Pharmaceutical Group, Inc. Brief of Appellees. August 17, 2005. * Patent Interference No. 105,171. Regents of the University of California. Reply Brief of Appellant. September 6, 2005. Patent Interference No. 105,171. Regents of the University of California. Reply Brief of Appellant. September 6, 2005.	

^{*}a copy of this reference is not provided as it was previously cited by or submitted to the office in a prior application, Serial No. 10/690,495, filed October 21, 2003, and relied upon for an earlier filing date under 35 U.S.C. 120 (continuation, continuation-in-part, and divisional applications).

[NOTE – No copies of U.S. patents, published U.S. patent applications, or pending, unpublished patent applications stored in the USPTO's Image File Wrapper (IFW) system, are included. See 37 CFR §1.98 and 1287OG163. Copies of all other patent(s), publication(s), unpublished, pending U.S. patent applications, or other information listed are provided as required by 37 CFR §1.98 unless 1) such copies were provided in an IDS in an earlier application that complies with 37 CFR §1.98, and 2) the earlier application is relied upon for an earlier filing date under 35 U.S.C. §120.]

EXAMINER:	DATE CONSIDERED:
Did ivin vDr.	

[#] EXAMINER: Initial if reference considered, whether or notcitation is in conformance with MPEP 609; Draw line through citation if not in conformance and not considered. Include copy of this form with next communication to Applicant.